

# COMPLEX SCHEMES OF FILTERING IN EXPERIMENTAL DATA ESTIMATION

Alexander Zorin<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Saint Petersburg State University, Faculty of Mathematics and Mechanics  
198504 Saint Petersburg, Universitetsky pr. 28, Russia

*Alexander.Zorin@gmail.com (Alexander Zorin)*

## Abstract

Scope of the work is the decision of a high accuracy experimental data reception problem in medical, ecological and chemical data processing software. There will be reviewed techniques for sensor fusion in gas concentration control system, emphasizing algorithms for safety control in chemical industry. These find use when the sensor suite of a chemical treatment comprises several different sensors with vary stochastic properties. The review describes integration techniques for various categories of stochastic processes. The review provides an arsenal of tools for achieving maximum likelihood conditions in estimation problem solutions, including varieties of Kalman filters.

Using complex schemes of filtering improves reception of experimental data with high reliability. Approach of data acquisition about random parameter with a required degree of reliability is investigated. The approach is used for control of experimental stochastic parameter on the basis of the found interval estimations regression dependences [1, 2].

Results using this new method indicate that the complex scheme of filtering accurately estimates the concentration of dangerous pollutant (is the stochastic parameter) by determining actual sensors instrumental errors. The described technique also can be used in many other areas, including: noise reduction of signals, trajectory tracking of moving objects, etc. It points to several further-research needs, including: scaling; robustness of decision rules; using other types of filters.

**Keywords: Complex scheme, Control system, Data fusion, Filtering, Kalman filter, Rate of convergence, Robustness, Sensor.**

## Presenting Author's biography

Alexander Zorin.

a) Studies: Information systems control, mathematical modeling, real-time control systems, adaptive estimation.

b) Academic Positions: St. Petersburg State University, Faculty of mathematics and mechanics, assistant, PhD candidate. The D.V. Efremov Scientific Research Institute of Electrophysical Apparatus (NIEFA), research engineer.

c) Scientific Activities: My current scientific interests closely related with robustness of control systems and probabilistic analysis.

Working under parts of "Design of the Hybrid Fastest Computers and System Programming", "Stochastic Methods of Optimization in Computer Science" with co-authors.

d) Participation in a number of research projects.



## 1 Introduction

Alongside with systems, which properties can be specified by active experiment, there are systems accepting only possibility of passive overseeing by variables of their states. On the basis of the observational data about processes their current and prognosticated properties can be detected, that allows to accept adequate measures of counteraction to development of unsafe situations [2,3].

Main the requirement is the maximal reliability of situations distinguishing, and also lowering the probability of a unsafe situation. The problem of lowering the hazard includes both first and second requirements, which execution is reached by appropriate data processing of observations [2,3]. Thus one of the tasks of statistical estimation is solved.

For improvement the estimations accuracy of observable parameters it is possible to utilize two approaches:

- rise of accuracy by usage of more precise sensors;
- using the complex schemes in control systems with standard accuracy sensors.

The first approach has set of objective implementation difficulties. The second approach bases on usage of standard sensors and implementation (program or hardware) algorithm of processing. It requires only knowledge of structure and numerical characteristics of instrumental error of sensors, collection of the external factors influential on instrumentation indications. Thus the potential accuracy of estimations is reached using complex scheme in control systems [12, 15].

## 2 The Problem Statement

### 2.1 Main Assumptions

In composition of elementary complex scheme is two measuring systems – data sources about the parameter  $N$ . These sources produce the parameter  $N$  with errors  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$  accordingly. The signal of measurements on a filter, is formed as a differential signal  $\Delta_1 - \Delta_2$ , which does not contain  $N$ . Kalman filter formed in view of statistical properties of errors  $\Delta_1$ ,  $\Delta_2$  and implemented in a computing system, using measurements, produces optimal estimations of a vector of a system condition, of which units the optimal estimations of separate errors are formed.

Consider main first meter, forming the parameter  $N$  with a resultant error equal error of an optimal estimation  $\Delta_1 - \hat{\Delta}_1$  [5].

The problem is to *minimize* the function  $\Delta_1 - \hat{\Delta}_1$ .




Fig. 1 Complex filtering scheme with two experimental data sources

### 2.2 Proposed Method

The extreme accuracy of the parameter  $N$  estimation is characterized by diagonal elements of covariance matrix  $P(t)$ .

It is significant, that in the open-loop system a composition and number of sources of experimental data can be arbitrary. The choice of a main source depends on properties definition of the considered system. If estimation errors of the first and second data sources are characterized by identical mean-square error, the choice of a main source should be from features of its actual operating conditions.

In case of the main first source we have:

$$\text{Input filter signal: } z = \Delta_1 - \Delta_2 + \nu; \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Output filter signals: } x = |x_1, \dots, x_n|;$$

$$\text{Sensors errors estimations: } \hat{\Delta}_1, \hat{\Delta}_2;$$

Matrix  $H_0$ , providing calculation of error estimation:  $\hat{\Delta}_1$ .

Main component of the system is the optimal filter. It is generated using information about stochastic properties of entry experimental data.

Let's consider more operation of Kalman filter in complex scheme of control system and write its equation. Let measuring system intended for forming of the parameter  $N$ , will use in it 2 independent sources, which output parameters:

$$Y_1(t) = N(t) + \Delta_1(t) \quad (2)$$

$$Y_2(t) = N(t) + \Delta_2(t)$$

Consider that structure of measurement errors like the following:

$$\Delta_1(t) = d_0 + d_1 \cdot t + \varepsilon_1(t) \quad (3)$$

$$\Delta_2(t) = c + \varepsilon_2(t),$$

where  $d_0$  – component of an error of the first source using an initial parameter error;  $d_1$  - linearly varying component of an error of the first source;  $d_0$ ,  $d_1$  – components of errors characterized by dispersions  $(\sigma_0)^2$ ,  $(\sigma_1)^2$  and zero average of distribution;  $\varepsilon_1(t)$

– stochastic process with zero average of distribution and correlation function:

$$K_{\varepsilon_1}(\tau) = (\sigma_{\varepsilon_1})^2 \cdot \exp(-\alpha_{\varepsilon}|\tau|);$$

c – component of an error of the second source with a dispersion  $(\sigma_2)^2$  and zero average of distribution;

$\varepsilon_2(t)$  – Stochastic process with zero expectation and correlation function:

$$K_{\varepsilon_2}(\tau) = \sigma^2 \cdot \exp(-\alpha_{\varepsilon}|\tau|) \cdot (\cos(\beta\tau) + (\alpha/\beta) \cdot \sin(\beta|\tau|))$$

System state vector:  
 $x(t) = [x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6] = [x_1, d_1, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, x_2, c]$ .

State vector components:

$$\dot{x} = Fx + Gw, \quad (4)$$

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\alpha & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2\alpha & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\alpha^2 - \beta^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix};$$

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{2\alpha\sigma^2} & 0 \\ 0 & 2\sqrt{\alpha\sigma^2} \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix};$$

$$w = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Covariance matrix for the system:

$$P_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.25 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sigma^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \sigma^2(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \sigma^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

### 3 Problem Solution

Let the dynamics of dispersions changes and correlation moments is described by the following matrix covariance equation (Riccati equation):

$$P_{k+1} = \Phi P \Phi^T + \Gamma Q_1 \Gamma^T \quad (5)$$

General errors model for complex scheme:

$$\dot{x} = F(t)x + G(t)w;$$

$$y = H(t)x, \quad (6)$$

where  $x$  – state vector (dimension  $(n \times 1)$ );  $w$  – white noises vector (dimension  $(r \times 1)$ , having a matrix of intensity  $Q$ );  $y$  – system parameters errors vector (dimension  $(m \times 1)$ );  $F, G, H$  – matrix of dimensions  $(n \times n)$ ,  $(r \times r)$ ,  $(m \times m)$  (generally these matrixes depend on time).

This model generalizes different types of control systems with complex scheme, with two main. The first type systems concerned with the filters defined by transfer functions (or matrixes) with known parameters. In this using complex schemes with stationary filters, defined by operator form. Second type schemes have such model:

$$\dot{x} = Fx + Gw,$$

$$z = Hx + v,$$

$$y = H_0x \quad (7)$$

satisfying to relations:

$$\dot{\hat{x}} = F\hat{x} + K(z - H\hat{x}), \quad (8)$$

in the same denotations with (5), where  $v$  – measurement noises vector (dimension  $(m \times 1)$ , with intensity  $R$ );  $z$  – vector of filter input measurements (dimension  $(m \times 1)$ );  $K$  – amplification coefficient matrix (dimension  $(n \times m)$ ).

Consider feasibilities of suboptimal Kalman filters and suboptimization of the second type complex scheme. Let's consider varieties reduced orders suboptimal filters. The reduced filters (RF), simplified filters (SF) and their stationary modifications concern to reduced orders suboptimal filters. As any stationary filters of sort  $\dot{\hat{x}} = F\hat{x} + K(z - H\hat{x})$ , can be shown to appropriate transfer functions and matrixes on known relations

$$W(p) = H_0[pI_n - (F - KH)]^{-1}K, \quad (9)$$

the main attention will be given non-stationary Kalman filters of types of RF and SF. The filters of this sort have by common feature that they are intended for an estimation  $(n_1 \times 1)$  - subvector  $x_1$  of a state vector  $x$  of model (6). So the structure of a matrix

$K(t)$  of such filters has  $n_2 = n - n_1$  of zero rows. Admitting, that the estimated part of a vector  $x$  is posed in its top, the appropriate extended vector of Kalman filter estimations  $\hat{x}$  and matrix  $K^*$  look like:

$$\hat{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{x}_1 \\ \dots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, K^* = \begin{bmatrix} K_1 \\ \dots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \dots \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (10)$$

where,  $x_1, \hat{x}_1$  - vectors of dimension  $(n_1 \times 1)$ ;  $x_2$  - vector of dimension  $(n_2 \times 1)$ ;  $K_1$  - amplification coefficients matrix (with dimension  $(n_1 \times m)$ ).

The analysis of accuracy and sensitivity of Kalman filter type (6) is grounded on obtaining covariance matrixes of estimations this error:

$$e = \begin{bmatrix} e_1 \\ \dots \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 - \hat{x}_1 \\ \dots \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = x - \hat{x}. \quad (11)$$

Covariance matrixes, appropriate to this vector:

$$P = M(e e^T) = \begin{bmatrix} P_{11} & P_{12} \\ P_{21} & P_{22} \end{bmatrix}; \quad (12)$$

$$P_{11} = M(e_1 e_1^T); \quad (13)$$

$$P_{12} = P_{21}^T = M(e_1 x_2^T); \quad (14)$$

$$P_{22} = M(e_2 e_2^T). \quad (15)$$

has block structure.

At dimension of a matrix  $P$  ( $n \times n$ ) it means solution of  $n(n+1)/2$  equations due to symmetry of this matrix.

After obtaining matrixes  $P_{11}, P_{12}, P_{22}$ , in each instant, the covariance matrix of output variables  $y(t)$  is determined by standard method:

$$P_y(t) = H_0 P(t) H_0^T. \quad (16)$$

One of suboptimal Kalman filter modifications is the approximated filter, in which model the amplification factors are approximated, forming a matrix of approximated amplification factors  $K_a(t)$ .

Let's substitute approximated amplification factors ( $K(t) = K_a(t)$  in a continuous function case,

$K_k = (K_a)_k$  in a discrete function case) in the filter equation:

$$\dot{P} = (F - KH)P + P(F - KH)^T + GQG^T + KRK^T,$$

$$P(0) = P_0 - \text{continuous function.} \quad (17)$$

Similar to errors for discrete function case ( $P_k = \text{cov}e_k, S_k = \text{cov}E_k$ ):

$$P_k = (E - K_k H) S_k (E - K_k H)^T + K_k R_k K_k^T, \quad (18)$$

$$S_{k+1} = \Phi P_k \Phi^T + G Q_k G^T,$$

$$P(0) = P_0.$$

Considerable simplification of the equations  $P$  analysis, because of approximating of amplification factors matrixes. In this model Riccati equations are not written.

#### 4 Simulation Results: Convergence and Robustness

The implementation of considered approach displays essential decrease of parameter estimation error.

Usage of the surveyed complex scheme in control systems using Kalman filters allows increasing accuracy of estimations. The error of estimation of the parameter decreases with time.

Without application of a method there was an accumulation of instrumental error in closed-loop control systems.




Fig. 2 Convergence and robustness of complex Kalman filtering scheme in contrast with ordinary closed-loop control system (Matlab modeling: X-axis – time, Y-axis – relative error of parameter N estimation)

## 5 Conclusion

A very powerful method of using complex schemes in filtering control systems has following main advantages:

- comparative simplicity of information processing;
- sharp rise of estimations accuracy (on a short time interval);
- low cost of high accuracy and function stability.

Although performance of complex schemes in closed-loop control systems with Kalman filtering was best when we had knowledge of structure and numerical characteristics of instrumental error of sensors, this approach allows to provide increasing of estimation accuracy without replacement of sensors by more precise. Such schemes allow to apply scaling with major number of sensors.

## 6 References

- [1] Thomas M. Hamill, Jeffrey S. Whitaker, and Chris Snyder. Distance-dependent filtering of background error covariance estimates in an ensemble kalman filter. *Monthly Weather Review*, Vol. 129. pp. 2776–2790, 2001.
- [2] Data Assimilation via Error Subspace Statistical Estimation. P.F.Lermusiaux, A.R. Robinson, *Monthly Weather Review*, Vol. 127, July 1999.
- [3] Y. Bar-Shalom, X.-R. Li, *Estimation and Tracking – Principles, Techniques and Software*, Artech House, 1993.
- [4] Carlson, H. A., Information-Sharing Approach to Federated Kalman Filtering, Proc. of *National Aerospace and Electronics Conference*, Dayton, OH, 1988.
- [5] Brown, R. G., *Introduction to Random Signal Analysis and Kalman Filtering*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1983.
- [6] Gelb, A. (editor), *Applied Optimal Estimation*, The MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1974.
- [7] Comparison and Analysis of Centralized, Decentralized, and Federated Filters. Y. Gao, E. J. Kravivsky, and M. A. Abousalem, *Navigation: Journal of The Institute of Navigation*, Vol. 40, No. 1, Spring 1993.
- [8] E. A. Wan and R. van der Merwe, *Kalman Filtering and Neural Networks*, Eds. S. Haykin, 2001.
- [9] Spall J. C. *Introduction to Stochastic Search and Optimization*. Wiley, New York, 2003.
- [10] Kushner H.J., Yin G.G. *Stochastic Approximation and Recursive Algorithms and Applications*. New York: Springer - Verlag,, 2003.
- [11] Granichin O.N. Linear regression and filtering under nonstandard assumptions (Arbitrary noise) // *IEEE Trans. on AC*, v.49, oct. 2004, pp.1830-1835.
- [12] Granichin O.N. Estimating the parameters of linear regression in an arbitrary noise. *Automation and Remote Control*, 2002, v.63, No.1, pp.25-35.
- [13] Kushner H.J., Yin G.G. *Stochastic Approximation Algorithms and Applications*. New York: Springer - Verlag, 1997, 415 p.
- [14] S. Julier, J. Uhlmann, H. F. Durrant-Whyte, A new method for the nonlinear transformation of means and covariances in filters and estimators, *IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr.*, Vol. 45, No. 3, pp. 477-482, 2000.
- [15] J. Julier, Jeffrey K. Uhlmann, and Hugh F. Durrant-Whyte. A new approach for filtering nonlinear systems. In Proc. of the *American Control Conference*, pages 1628–1632, Seattle, WA, 1995.
- [16] Geir Evensen. Sampling strategies and square root analysis schemes. *Ocean Dynamics*, 54:539–560, 2004.
- [17] M. K. Tippett, J. L. Anderson, C. H. Bishop, T. M. Hamill, and J. S. Whitaker. Ensemble square-root filters. *Monthly Weather Review*, 131:1485–1490, 2002.
- [18] A. Doucet, N. de Freitas, and N. Gordon, editors. *Sequential Monte Carlo Methods in Practice*, Springer, New York, NY, 2001.
- [19] John Lewis, S. Lakshminarayanan, and Sudarshan Dhall. *Dynamic Data Assimilation: A Least Squares Approach*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2006.
- [20] Zorin A.V. Regression Method of Estimation in Ecological Observations Processing Software // In: Proc. of the *8-th St. Petersburg Conference on Soft Computing and Measurements*, St. Petersburg, 2005.
- [21] Q. Honghui and J. B. Moore, “Direct Kalman filtering approach for GPS/INS integration,” *IEEE Trans. Aerosp. Electron. Syst.*, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 687–693, Apr. 2002.
- [22] M. Muhlbaier, A. Topalis, and R. Polikar, “Ensemble confidence estimates posterior probability,” Proc. *6th Int. Workshop Multiple Classifier Syst.*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, N. Oza et al., Eds., Monterey, CA, 2005, vol. 3541, pp. 326–335.
- [23] N. S. V. Rao, “On fusers that perform better than best sensor,” *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.*, vol. 23, no. 8, pp. 904–909, Aug. 2001.
- [24] K. C. Chou, A. S. Willsky, and A. Benveniste, “Multiscale recursive estimation, data fusion, and

- regularization,” *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 464–478, Mar. 1994.
- [25] Q. Honghui and J. B. Moore, “Direct Kalman filtering approach for GPS/INS integration,” *IEEE Trans. Aerosp. Electron. Syst.*, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 687–693, Apr. 2002.
- [26] Z. Lei, W. Xiaolin, P. Quan, and Z. Hongcai, “Multiresolution modeling and estimation of multisensor data,” *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 52, no. 11, pp. 3170–3182, Nov. 2004.
- [27] *Handbook of Multisensor Data Fusion*, D. L. Hall, Ed. Boca Raton, FL: CRC, 2001.
- [28] T. Kirubarajan and Y. Bar-Shalom, “Probabilistic data association techniques for target tracking in clutter,” *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 92, no. 3, pp. 536–557, Mar. 2004.
- [29] E. Bosse, P. Valin, A. C. Boury-Brisset, and D. Grenier, “Exploitation of a priori knowledge for information fusion,” *Inf. Fusion*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 161–175, 2006.
- [30] M. Weis, S. Muller, C. E. Liedtke, and M. Pahl, “A framework for GIS and imagery data fusion in support of cartographic updating,” *Inf. Fusion*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 311–317, Dec. 2005.
- [31] M. Faundez-Zanuy, “Data fusion in biometrics,” *IEEE Aerosp. Electron. Syst. Mag.*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 34–38, Jan. 2005.
- [32] S. Wu and S. McClean, “Performance prediction of data fusion for information retrieval,” *Inf. Process. Management*, vol. 42, no. 4, pp. 899–915, Jul. 2006.
- [33] M. Kam, Z. Xiaoxun, and P. Kalata, “Sensor fusion for mobile robot navigation,” *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 85, no. 1, pp. 108–119, Jan. 1997.
- [34] Bar-Shalom, Y. and T. E. Fortmann (1988). *Tracking and Data Associations*. The Academic Press.
- [35] Ewell, J. J. (1988). Space shuttle orbiter entry through land navigation. In *IEEE International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, pp. 627–632.